

of our lawn. How much longer are we expected to keep paying and waiting with no more source of rental income?

Please, somebody, wake me up from this nightmare and tell me it's all a bad joke. Our government couldn't do something as unfair and cruel as this, could they? Do they think about the people whose lives they are destroying?

I know there are people who are a lot worse than us and suffering even more. But at least, at least we have another home to live in right now.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MORAN of Kansas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE DEFINITION OF A HYPOCRITE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDERMOTT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Madam Speaker, as we approach the passage of the Jobs for America Bill in the next few days, we need to talk about a subject that is at the heart of the debate, hypocrisy.

The definition of a hypocrite is someone who acts in contradiction to his stated belief. In other words, he says one thing and does another.

For example, a hypocrite would deplore our Nation's deficit in a floor speech today, even after helping President Bush turn the biggest budget surplus in our Nation's history into the biggest deficit ever.

A hypocrite would vote for two wars with a price tag of over \$1 trillion, two tax cuts for the wealthy, and a new entitlement program, all without ever thinking about how to pay one thin dime of their cost, and then turn around and say they voted to cut off unemployment benefits out of concern for the budget deficit. That's what people will argue in the next couple of days.

A hypocrite would complain that there are not enough budget offsets, that is, pay-fors, in the jobs bill before us while, at the very same time, try to eliminate the over \$50 billion in offsets that are contained in the legislation. Closing loopholes is against the philosophy of a hypocrite.

I think it's safe to say that all of us have not lived up to every pronouncement that we've made in our lives. No one is perfect, but rarely has hypocrisy been as constantly and blatantly dis-

played as it has been by the opponents of this bill.

The same people, the same people who spent like drunken sailors when they were in charge, now say we cannot afford to help our fellow citizens who've lost their jobs through no fault of their own.

Here is the bottom line: if we don't pass this bill, 1.2 million Americans who were following the rules and working and paying their taxes will lose their unemployment benefits by the end of June. Moving forward, a total of 2 million will be off by mid-July and 5 million Americans will lose their benefits by the end of this year.

Thanks to the hypocrites who say, oh, I can't, I'm worried about the deficit; I can't worry about these people who have no way to pay for their home or their food or their mortgage or their children, Americans will face these cuts of their unemployment benefits because people will not follow what they say they believe.

They were unable to deal with the spending on wars and tax cuts and all the rest; but when it comes time to pay the benefits to somebody who lost their job, their message to them is, well, you know, tell your children that they're just going to have to tighten their belts a little, and we're not going to have three meals today. We're only going to have two because your dad or your mom or both haven't been able to find work.

There are six people in this country looking for every job that's out there. The chances of people getting a job today are very low, and people are giving up because, with 8 million jobs lost in the last 2 years, they are simply unable to find work to take care of their families.

Now, to take away their last lifeline because you're saying you're being a budget hawk and you're against deficits, when you spent like that in the last 8 years, is pure and unadulterated hypocrisy.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. HALVORSON). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mrs. MILLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. MILLER of Michigan addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURGESS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE DOCTORS CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. BROUN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. BROUN of Georgia. Madam Speaker, tonight the Doctors Caucus, people who are physicians, and those of us who are involved in health care on the Republican side, are going to be talking a little bit tonight about ObamaCare. We've talked about ObamaCare a lot over the last several months, and it's now law. We hear over and over again about how ObamaCare is beginning to filter out, and how it's going to affect the American people.

Our President has said, Madam Speaker, that when the American people know what's in the bill, they're going to like it. Well, to the contrary. As the American people get to know what's in the bill, they dislike it more and more, as they rightfully should, because ObamaCare is going to be extremely expensive for everyone.

□ 1900

It's going to be very onerous to almost everyone, except for the Federal bureaucrats who are going to be hired to put ObamaCare into place and who are going to be enforcing it. And in fact, we are even going to have to hire, I think it is 16,500 new IRS agents to enforce it. That's right, Madam Speaker, 16,500 new IRS agents just to enforce ObamaCare on small businessmen and women around this country. The more the American people discover about ObamaCare, the less they like it.

We just heard, I think it was last week, where the Congressional Budget Office said, Oops, we made a mistake. We made a mistake. We were \$115 billion too little on our estimate, which puts it way over a trillion dollars. Our Democratic colleagues, their leadership—actually, it's not even all the